







Feedback sheets – 1 March seminar

Of a total of **43** potential sheets, a fairly healthy **31** were completed (that's 72%). Not everyone answered all the questions.

	 Poor	 Ave	 Good
Arrangements			
Event arrangements		11	20
Venue	1	14	16
Arrivals and registration		15	16
Refreshments	5	17	9
Sessions			
Welcome	1	14	12
Introduction to the Cambridge sub-region's Section 106 report, John, ARK		8	23
The viability of affordable housing Robert and Richard, Adams Integra	6	13	12
The Grimley Toolkit for economic appraisals Andy, Bespoke Property Group		2	28
Plenary question and answer session		8	15
Event overall		8	15
Total	13	110	166

	 Poor	 Ave	 Good
Arrangements			
Event arrangements		35%	65%
Venue	3%	45%	52%
Arrivals and registration		48%	52%
Refreshments	16%	55%	29%
Sessions			
Welcome	4%	52%	44%
Introduction to the Cambridge sub-region's Section 106 report, John, ARK		26%	74%
The viability of affordable housing Robert and Richard, Adams Integra	19%	42%	39%
The Grimley Toolkit for economic appraisals Andy, Bespoke Property Group		7%	93%
Plenary question and answer session		35%	65%
Event overall		35%	65%
Total	5%	38%	57%

General comments and suggestions

Positive

- Andy Lehey **very** good
- Kevin was fab - great time-keeping!
- The venue is easily accessible and has parking which is good.

Negative

- Some of questions and answers at the plenary session were hard to hear. No coffee at coffee time!
- Slides too small to read – even with glasses! Very poor acoustics and quiet speakers who do not raise their voices, and background noise from corridor.
- Coffee ran out!
- Speakers could have benefited from a microphone
- Acoustics not ideal
- Acoustics not good – speakers need to speak up. Slides with lots of data could not be read, too small to see – makes it difficult to understand
- Venue: bit of an issue with hearing what people are saying. Two very long PowerPoint presentations, where PowerPoint was predominantly long pieces of text then read by the speaker. Result was people seemed to switch off a little (last presentation was much better). Acoustics in the hall not very good making it difficult to hear at times – especially questions.
- Not the best venue for sound quality and seating uncomfortable.
- No fire escape instructions in introduction. Difficult to hear most speakers – PA needed in this venue.
- We should have had a PA system.
- No water! For a free event the venue was adequate.
- Hard chairs!
- Adams session could have moved faster through some of the points – I feel some of it was reasonably well-known to the audience already
- Speakers could have used microphones as it was sometimes difficult to hear what they had to say.
- Venue was noisy, hard to hear speakers with children running up and down hallways. Water would have been nice!

Suggestions

- Would be helpful to have spreadsheets shown in PowerPoint presentation printouts in larger size to see properly and use later.